UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,925 | 03/25/2004 | Hisashi Mogi | 52433/756 | 8039 |
| KENYON & K | 7590 04/07/200 ENYON | EXAMINER | | |
| One Broadway | 10004 | NGUYEN, TUYEN T | | |
| New York, NY | 10004 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2832 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/808,925 | MOGI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| TUYEN T. NGUYEN | 2832 | | |

| | TUYEN T. NGUYEN | 2832 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | 21. San attached Nation of Nan Co. | mpliant Amandment (I | OTOL 224) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpilant Amendment (r | -10L-324). |
| Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| 10. | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | /TUYEN T NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 2832 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record disclose the claimed invention. Applicant's argument is not persuasive.since the viscoelastic layers are being inserted at a fixed formula and cannot be inserted randomly at the same time.